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**Objective** - Develop familiarity with landslides and their impact on structures, rivers or reservoirs
Key Concepts

- There are direct and indirect impacts
- Always look beyond the footprint of the facility (Vaiont, Quake Lake)
- Many dams in mountainous terrain where landslides are common
- Landslides can be triggered by
  - Hydrologic hazards (heavy rainfall, snowmelt)
  - Operations (e.g. reservoir drawdown)
  - Seismic hazards (Large earthquake, fault offset)
Key Concepts (Cont.)

Landslide related PFM’s

• Upstream – rapid failure into reservoir can create overtopping
• Downstream - river blockage affects dam access/monitoring and releases
• Dam site – abutment landslide can lower crest, create cracking and scour/concentrated leak erosion (embankment), or concrete deformation and cracking
• Dam site - spillway blockage hinders reservoir-release operations
Vaiont Dam, Italy

- 870’ high arch dam on Vaiont River near Longarone, Italy
- Completed in 1960
- The foundation and reservoir slopes composed of bedded limestone
- Left bank slide mass from post-glacial period
A part of the mountain side slid into the reservoir on Oct. 9, 1963.

Filled the entire reservoir for a mile upstream of the dam creating huge wave.

Sliding occurred on clay-filled bedding planes with phi = 10 to 12° with dip of 35°+/- to 0°

Approx. 250 million yd³

From Hendron and Patton
Vaiont Dam

Slide sent wall of water 330’ high over the top of the dam downstream (dam survived)

2600 fatalities in the village of Longarone downstream
Vaiont Dam

- Definitive study by Hendron and Patton, 1985 (COE)
- Occurred on old slide
- Moved on clay layers ($\phi \sim 12^\circ$)

Similar sequence outside slide area

Clay layer at slide plane

FIGURE 19. A sketch of the outcrop of Malm rocks southwest of Casso. This outcrop lies in the same stratigraphic sequence as those at the base of the Vaiont Slide.
Vaiont Dam

• Karstic terrain groundwater system

• What effect does this have on the landslide mass?
Vaiont Dam Landslide

From Hendron and Patton

Combination high reservoir and high rainfall caused slide
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Res (m)</th>
<th>Rain</th>
<th>F.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Piezo level (on slide plane) w/ rainfall
Piezo level w/o rainfall
Water level above slide plane

Vaiont Slide - Semenza Section 2, 710 m reservoir, October 1963, with rainfall

From Hendron and Patton
Displacement and Reservoir Level vs Time

Glastonbury and Fell

Collapse at 1378 days

Slide toe at approx EL 565-580m

Figure Q: Displacement-time data for Valont showing relationship with reservoir level (Data modified from Hendron and Patton, 1985).
Key Landslide Characteristics

• Important to understand
  • Rainfall data
  • Reservoir operations
  • Groundwater conditions
  • Geology (including 3-D effects)
  • Geometry and failure mechanism
  • Slide characteristics (slide mass, rupture surface and lateral margins)
  • Slide history (first time or reactivated)
  • Movement surveys and rates of movement
  • Limit equilibrium (including reliability analyses or other analyses)
Quake Lake Landslide

- Triggered by August 17, 1959 Hebgen Lake E.Q.
- M7.5-7.8 in SW part of Yellowstone Park
- 43,000,000 c.y. slid across canyon and up opposite side nearly 400’
- 27 fatalities in campground on opposite side of river
The Quake

- Magnitude 7.5
- Max Intensity X
- Lasted 30-40 secs
- Up to 20 feet vert. offset
- Epicenter ●
- Dam ★
- Quake Lake ▲
Quake Lake Landslide

• Buttress of jointed dolomite collapsed
• Sliding occurred along 50° foliation toward canyon
Slide Mass Immediately Afterward
Quake Lake Landslide (D/S of a dam)

- Landslide debris dam 4,000’ long and 200’ high across Madison River d/s Hebgen Dam formed “Quake Lake” - leakage to ~ 200 cfs
- Hebgen Lake nearly full at the time and dam was damaged by earthquake (inspection desirable)
- Volume in Hebgen Lake nearly 4 times that which could be accommodated in Quake Lake
- In time allowed, spillway notch 250’ wide cut through slide with capacity of 10,000 cfs
- Simultaneous armoring with 2-3’ rock
Final Solution

• Consulting Board hired, including A. Casagrande

• Need to lower crest to reduce gradient and pool

• Spillway channel later lowered 50 ft reducing Quake Lake from 81,000 to 35,000 acre-ft

• Used flowing water to aid with excavation – erosion got away from them – dumped rock to redirect flow
Other Landslides Upon Which Dams are Founded
Rockfalls Can Also Be Damaging
Equations for Quick Estimates

• Displacements during earthquake shaking
  • Jibson (2007) based on yield acceleration and magnitude

• Wave heights generated by landslides moving into reservoirs
  • Pugh and Chang (1986) block slides based on Morrow Point
  • Huber and Hager (1997) debris slides
  • Perez (2006)
Example Event Tree
Takeaway Points

• Landslides occurring upstream (reservoir waves, inundating operating structures, landslide dams), beneath (distress, cracking, sliding in foundation), or downstream (landslide dams) of a dam can cause dam safety issues.

• Landslides can also cause problems with dam operations.

• Understanding, assessing and monitoring landslides that are likely to move is prudent.
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